

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,213	12/21/2001	Houssam Salloum	15354	3461
4859	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			GILLIGAN, CHRISTOPHER L	
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FIFTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604-1619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,213	SALLOUM, HOUSSAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luke Gilligan	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	VIC CET TO EVEIDE AMONTH	0) OD THIDTY (00) DAY(0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 D	December 2001.					
	s action is non-final.					
·=	· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	·	d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed				
		-				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/16/02</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application				

Ápplication/Control Number: 10/032,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

Claims 1-20 have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Independent claims 6 and 13 recite a "system...comprising the steps of:" followed by a series of steps. Claims 6 and 13, therefore, recite both a system and a method of using that system. A claim reciting an apparatus and a method of using that apparatus renders the claim indefinite because it does not apprise a person of ordinary kill in the art of its scope, and thus is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2. See *IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, 430 F.3d 1377, 83-84 (Fed. Cir. 2005).
- 4. Claims 7-12 and 14-20 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 6 and 13 through dependency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 3626

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by UPS Press Release, <u>UPS Advances E-commerce Strategy With Next-Generation Web Tools</u> (hereinafter UPS Press Release 1, cited in the IDS filed 4/16/02).

Page 3

- 7. As per claim 1, UPS Press Release 1 discloses a computerized system for selecting a cargo carrier and arranging transportation for cargo, the system comprising: a host computer system having access to data of each of a plurality of cargo carriers, the data representing cargo transportation options available from each of the cargo carriers (see paragraphs 1 and 6, the Examiner considers different UPS service levels to be different cargo carriers); Internet access means connecting the host computer system to a user (see paragraph 1); means in the host computer system for prompting the user for and receiving from the user information concerning cargo to be transported and transportation preferences (see paragraphs 6-10); and means in the host computer system for comparing the user information with the available cargo transportation options and sending to the user at least one of the available cargo transportation options best matching the user information.
- 8. As per claim 2, UPS Press Release 1 discloses the system of claim 1 as described above. UPS Press Release further discloses the data of a cargo carrier is electronically delivered to the host computer system (see paragraph 2).
- 9. As per claim 3, UPS Press Release 1 discloses the system of claim 1 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses the host computer system is linked to a computer system of each of the cargo carriers by an Internet link for accessing the cargo carrier data (see paragraph 2).
- As per claim 4, UPS Press Release 1 discloses the system of claim 1 as described
   above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses the data from the cargo carriers includes at least

Art Unit: 3626

one of marine, air, and land cargo options (see paragraph 6, it is noted that it is well known that UPS includes at least land and air cargo options).

11. As per claim 5, UPS Press Release 1 discloses the system of claim 1 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses software of the host computer system receives the information input from the user and sends the available cargo transportation options to the user in an interactive manner (see paragraph 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UPS Press Release, <u>UPS Advances E-commerce Strategy With Next-Generation Web Tools</u> in view of Bowman, <u>A paperless world</u> (hereinafter Bowman, cited in the IDS filed 4/16/02).
- 14. As per claim 6, UPS Press Release 1 discloses a system for arranging for cargo transportation by a selected cargo carrier comprising the steps of: providing a host computer system (see paragraph 1); linking cargo carrier computer systems of a plurality of cargo carriers to the host computer system, each cargo carrier computer system having data representing cargo transportation options available from the associated cargo carrier including departure, arrival and space availability information (see paragraphs 5, 6, and 10); accessing the host computer system through an internet connection (see paragraph 1); transmitting information fro a user to the host computer system about cargo that is to be transported and transportation preferences (see paragraph 2); and comparing in the host computer system the user

Art Unit: 3626

information and the available cargo transportation options and sending to the user at least one of the available cargo transportation options and sending to the user at least one of the available cargo transportation options best matching the user information (see paragraphs 5, 6, and 10).

Page 5

- 15. Although it is well known that UPS provides a variety of cargo carrying options, such as land, air, etc, the references does not explicitly specify that each of these options are linked through the system. However, Bowman discloses a variety of cargo carrying options, including air, land, and sea, and their corresponding automated processing of automated cargo transportation information (see paragraphs 12-14, and 22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the linking of such a variety of cargo transportation mechanisms into the system disclosed in the UPS Press Release. On of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate such mechanisms for the purpose of expanding the tools developed by UPS to well known cargo transportation mediums (see paragraph 1 of UPS Press Release 1).
- 16. As per claim 7, UPS Press Release 1 in view of Bowman discloses the system of claim 6 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses the step of booking transportation of the cargo through the host computer system to the cargo carrier computer system of the one of the cargo carriers selected by the user after receiving the at least one of the available cargo transportation options best matching the user information (see paragraphs 5-6).
- 17. As per claim 8, UPS Press Release 1 in view of Bowman discloses the system of claim 7 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses a step of tracking the cargo during its transportation by the selected cargo carrier after the step of booking transportation (see paragraph 5).

Art Unit: 3626

18. As per claim 9, UPS Press Release 1 in view of Bowman discloses the system of claim 6 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses the data of the cargo carrier computer systems also includes price and payment information (see paragraph 6).

Page 6

- 19. As per claim 10, UPS Press Release 1 in view of Bowman discloses the system of claim 6 as described above. UPS Press Release 1 further discloses the data of the cargo carrier computer systems also includes agent information (see paragraph 11).
- 20. As per claims 11 and 12, UPS Press Release 1 in view of Bowman discloses the system of claim 6 as described above. UPS Press Release does not explicitly disclose that data of the cargo carrier computer systems includes bill of lading information or customs information. However, Bowman further discloses that data of cargo carrier computer systems also includes bill of lading information (see paragraph 22) and customs information (see paragraph 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate such data into the system disclosed by UPS Press Release. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate such data for the purpose of including data that is necessary for international cargo transportation because UPS is a well known international cargo shipper.
- 21. Claims 13-20 recite substantially similar limitations to those already addressed in claims 1-12 and, as such, are rejected for similar reasons as given above.

#### Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Barni teaches a web-based system for matching customers with freight and cargo carriers.

Art Unit: 3626

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Page 7

should be directed to Luke Gilligan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6770. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-5:30pm.

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/18/06